

ON SEMIABELIAN p -GROUPS

DANNY NEFTIN

ABSTRACT. The family of semiabelian p -groups is the minimal family that contains $\{1\}$ and is closed under quotients and semidirect products with finite abelian p -groups. Kisilevsky and Sonn ([2]) have solved the minimal ramification problem (over $\mathbb{Q}$) for a family $\mathcal{G}_p$ of p -groups that is contained in the family of semiabelian p -groups. We show that $\mathcal{G}_p$ is exactly the family of semiabelian groups and hence [2] provides a solution to the minimal ramification problem for all semiabelian p -groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our main interest in this paper is to study the family of finite semiabelian groups from a purely group theoretical point of view. All groups in this paper will be assumed to be finite, p will denote a fixed prime and $\mathcal{P}$ the family of p -groups. As we shall see the family of semiabelian groups can be defined in several ways. One of these is the following:

Definition 1.1. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the minimal family of groups that satisfies:

- (1) $\{1\} \in \mathcal{S}$,
- (2) if A is an abelian group and $H \in \mathcal{S}$ then any semidirect product $A \rtimes H$ is in $\mathcal{S}$,
- (3) if $G \in \mathcal{S}$ and $H \triangleleft G$ then $G/H \in \mathcal{S}$.

A group in $\mathcal{S}$ is called a semiabelian group.

Similarly, define $\mathcal{S}_p$ by replacing each group in this definition by a p -group. We shall call $\mathcal{S}_p$ the family of semiabelian p -groups. The name semiabelian p -groups will be justified in the sequel by showing that the family $\mathcal{S}_p$ consists exactly from the p -groups in $\mathcal{S}$.

The family of semiabelian groups has appeared many times in problems from the theory of fields. For example, in the contexts of geometric realizations over hilbertian fields (see [5],[3]), generic extensions (see [5]) and the minimal ramification problem (see [2]).

This paper is motivated by the minimal ramification problem for p -groups. Given a p -group G it is an open problem to find the minimal number of primes ramified in a G -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [4]). As a consequence of Minkowski's Theorem this number is greater or equal to $d(G)$, the minimal number of generators of G . In [2], Kisilevsky and Sonn proved this number is exactly $d(G)$ for a family of p -groups denoted by $\mathcal{G}_p$. In order to describe $\mathcal{G}_p$ let us first recall the notion of regular (or standard) wreath products.

Definition 1.2. For two groups H, G we let $H \wr G$ be a group that consists of pairs (f, g) where f is any map $f : G \rightarrow H$ and $g \in G$. The multiplication is described

for any $f_1, f_2 : G \rightarrow H$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$, by:

$$(f_1, g_1)(f_2, g_2) := (f_1 f_2^{g_1^{-1}}, g_1 g_2).$$

where $f_2^{g_1^{-1}}(g) = f_2(gg_1)$ for any $g \in G$.

The wreath product $H \wr G$ can also be described as a semidirect product $H^{|G|} \rtimes G$, where G acts by permuting the $|G|$ copies of H .

We can now give the definition of $\mathcal{G}_p$:

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{G}_p$ be the minimal family that satisfies:

- (1) any abelian p -group is in $\mathcal{G}_p$,
- (2) if $H, G \in \mathcal{G}_p$ then $H \wr G \in \mathcal{G}_p$,
- (3) if $G \in \mathcal{G}_p$ and $G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an epimorphism with $d(G) = d(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_p$.

We shall call an epimorphism $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ rank preserving if $d(G_1) = d(G_2)$. Let us define several families that contain $\mathcal{G}_p$:

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathcal{S}'$ be the minimal family of groups for which:

- (1) any finite abelian group A is in $\mathcal{S}'$,
- (2) if $G, H \in \mathcal{S}'$ then $G \wr H \in \mathcal{S}'$,
- (3) if $G \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an epimorphism, then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Similarly, let $\mathcal{S}'_p$ be defined by replacing each group in the definition of $\mathcal{S}'$ by a p -group. The family $\mathcal{G}_p$ is clearly contained in $\mathcal{S}'_p$.

Remark 1.5. Note that (by Dentzer, [1]) the family $\mathcal{S}'$ is closed under direct products.

In [2], it is proved that $\mathcal{S}'_p$ is exactly the family $\mathcal{S}_p$. A similar proof, that appears in a preprint of [1], shows that $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 1.6. (Dentzer, Kisilevsky-Sonn) $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}'_p = \mathcal{S}_p$.

Let us show that the set of p -groups in $\mathcal{S}$ is exactly $\mathcal{S}_p$. This follows from the following useful characterization of semiabelian groups:

Theorem 1.7. (Dentzer, [1]) *A group G is semiabelian if and only if $G = AH$ for an abelian $A \triangleleft G$ and a proper semiabelian subgroup H of G .*

Note that in the decomposition of Theorem 1.7 we have $|H| \mid |G|$. As $\mathcal{S}_p$ is contained in the family of p -groups in $\mathcal{S}$, a p -group G in $\mathcal{S}_p$ can be decomposed as $G = AH$ for an abelian (p -group) $A \triangleleft G$ and H a proper semiabelian (p -)subgroup of G . By iterating this process, we get:

Corollary 1.8. *A group G is in $\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_p$) if and only if there is a sequence $(H_i)_{i=1}^n$ of groups in $\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_p$) for which:*

- (1) $H_{i+1} = A_i H_i$ for some normal abelian subgroup $A_i \triangleleft H_{i+1}$ and H_i a proper subgroup of H_{i+1} ,
- (2) $H_1 = \{1\}$, $H_n = G$.

Such a sequence has the property $|H_i| \mid |H_{i+1}|$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore if G is a p -group that appears in $\mathcal{S}$ then the sequence $(H_i)_{i=1}^n$ consists of p -groups and thus G is also in $\mathcal{S}_p$. This proves:

Corollary 1.9. $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{S}_p$.

As the minimal ramification problem was solved for $\mathcal{G}_p$ ([2]) it is natural to ask how large is $\mathcal{G}_p$ and whether $\mathcal{G}_p$ equals the family of semiabelian p -groups.

We shall show (Corollary 2.11) this question has an affirmative answer. Furthermore, in Corollary 2.14 we deduce:

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group. Then there are abelian groups $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r$ for which there is a rank preserving epimorphism $A_1 \wr (A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r) \rightarrow G$.*

Theorem 1 in [2] therefore shows that for any semiabelian p -group G , there is a G -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with exactly $d(G)$ ramified primes.

We can summarize the above discussion by:

$$\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{S}_p = \mathcal{S}'_p = \mathcal{G}_p, \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}'.$$

Remark 1.11. Note that since $\mathcal{S}'$ is closed under direct products, all the above families are also closed under direct products.

I would like to thank my advisor Prof. Jack Sonn for his valuable advice, for pointing out an error in the first draft of this paper and suggesting a way to correct it.

2. A MINIMAL DECOMPOSITION

Let G be a semiabelian p -group. We aim to decompose G into a product of an abelian normal subgroup and a semiabelian group which is smaller by size and rank (minimal number of generators). For this we shall use the following notions:

Definition 2.1. Let G be a semiabelian group. A decomposition of G is an abelian normal subgroup $A \triangleleft G$ and a semiabelian proper subgroup H of G so that $G = AH$. A minimal decomposition of G consists of

- (1) a minimal normal abelian subgroup $A \triangleleft G$ for which there is a semiabelian proper subgroup H' of G satisfying $G = AH'$,
- (2) a minimal subgroup $H \leq G$ for which H is semiabelian and $G = AH$ (for the same A given in (1)).

Remark 2.2. Let A be an abelian p -group and let H act on A . We denote by $[A, H]$ the group generated by the elements $h(a)a^{-1}$, $a \in A, h \in H$. If $A, H \leq G$ and the action of H on A agrees with the inner action in G then $[A, H]$ is exactly the group generated by the commutators $[a, h]$ for $a \in A, h \in H$.

Denote by $\overline{A}$ the quotient group $A/A^p[A, H]$. Denote by A_m a minimal subgroup of A for which $A^p[A, H]A_m = A$. The abelian group A_m can be constructed by choosing representatives in A that map to generators of $A/A^p[A, H]$. We have: $d(\overline{A}) = d(A_m)$.

Remark 2.3. Let G be a p -group. Let $\Phi(G)$ denote the Frattini subgroup of G . If $G = AH$ is a decomposition of G then a direct calculation shows:

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(G) = G^p[G, G] = A^p[A, H]H^p[H, H] = A^p[A, H]\Phi(H).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group and $G = AH$ a decomposition for which $A \cap H \subseteq \Phi(G)$, then:*

$$(2.2) \quad G/\Phi(G) \cong A/((A \cap H)A^p[A, H]) \times H/((A \cap H)\Phi(H)).$$

Proof. First, note that $A \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of G . Thus, we can write:

$$(2.3) \quad G/\Phi(G) \cong (G/A \cap H)/(\Phi(G)/A \cap H).$$

One has an isomorphism

$$f : AH/A \cap H \rightarrow (A/A \cap H) \rtimes (H/A \cap H),$$

which sends $ah(A \cap H)$ to $(a(A \cap H), h(A \cap H))$. By this description, f maps $A^p[A, H]$ into $A/A \cap H$ while $H^p[H, H]$ is mapped into $H/A \cap H$. By Remark 2.3, the restriction of f to $\Phi(G)$ gives an isomorphism:

$$f|_{\Phi(G)} : \Phi(G)/A \cap H \rightarrow (A^p[A, H](A \cap H)/A \cap H) \rtimes (H^p[H, H](A \cap H)/A \cap H).$$

Therefore:

$$(2.4) \quad G/\Phi(G) \cong f(G/A \cap H)/f(\Phi(G)/A \cap H) \cong A/((A \cap H)A^p[A, H]) \rtimes H/((A \cap H)\Phi(H)).$$

Since the induced action of H on $A/[A, H]$ is trivial the latter is simply a direct product. $\square$

Remark 2.5. The isomorphism

$$\bar{f} : G/\Phi(G) \rightarrow A/((A \cap H)A^p[A, H]) \times H/((A \cap H)\Phi(H))$$

is defined explicitly by: $\bar{f}(ah\Phi(G)) = (a(A \cap H)A^p[A, H], h(A \cap H)\Phi(H))$ for any $a \in A, h \in H$.

Remark 2.6. If $A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H] \cap \Phi(H)$ then:

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{f} : G/\Phi(G) \cong A/((A \cap H)A^p[A, H]) \times H/((A \cap H)\Phi(H)) \cong \bar{A} \times H/\Phi(H),$$

where $\bar{f}(ah\Phi(G)) = (aA^p[A, H], h\Phi(H))$, for any $a \in A, h \in H$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group with a minimal decomposition $G = AH$. Then:*

$$(2.6) \quad A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H] \cap \Phi(H).$$

Proof. By induction on $|G|$. Assume that for any semiabelian group H_1 with $|H_1| < |G|$ and any minimal decomposition $H_1 = BH_2$, we have $B \cap H_2 \subseteq B^p[B, H_2] \cap \Phi(H_2)$.

First, let us show $A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H]$. Let $\pi_A : A \rightarrow A/A^p[A, H] = \bar{A}$. Assume on the contrary there is an $a \in A \cap H$ with non-trivial image $\bar{a} := \pi_A(a)$. The group $\bar{A}$ is an abelian p -torsion group and hence can be viewed as an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space. In order to treat $\bar{A}$ as a vector space it will more convenient to denote the multiplication in $\bar{A}$ by $+$. We can choose an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -subspace (or a subgroup) $B_1 \subseteq \bar{A}$ so that $\bar{A} = \langle \bar{a} \rangle \oplus B_1$. Let $A_1 = \pi_A^{-1}(\langle \bar{a} \rangle)$. Then A_1 is a proper subgroup of A which is normal in G (since $\pi_A(a') = \pi_A(h^{-1}a'h)$ for every $a' \in A, h \in H$). We also have $\pi_A(A_1) + \pi_A(A \cap H) = \bar{A}$ and therefore $A_1(A \cap H)A^p[A, H] = A$. But as $A_1 \supseteq A^p[A, H]$ this simply means $A_1(A \cap H) = A$ and therefore $A_1H = A_1(A \cap H)H = AH = G$. This is a contradiction to the minimality of A .

Let us show $A \cap H \subseteq H^p[H, H]$. Let $\pi_H : H \rightarrow H/H^p[H, H]$, and assume on the contrary there is an $a \in A \cap H$ for which $\bar{a} = \pi_H(a)$ is non-trivial. Let $H_1 = H$ and $H_i = A_{i+1}H_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be a sequence of minimal decompositions so that H_k

is the first for which $\bar{a} \notin \pi_H(H_k)$. By the induction hypothesis and Remark 2.6 we have:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} H/H^p[H, H] &\cong A_2/A_2^p[A_2, H_2] \times H_2/H_2^p[H_2, H_2] \cong \dots \cong \\ &\cong A_2/A_2^p[A_2, H_2] \times A_3/A_3^p[A_3, H_3] \times \dots \times A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k] \times H_k/H_k^p[H_k, H_k]. \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained an isomorphism:

$$(2.8) \quad \psi : H/\Phi(H) \rightarrow \prod_{i=2}^k A_i/A_i^p[A_i, H_i] \times H_k/\Phi(H_k).$$

Let us describe ψ explicitly. As

$$H = A_2 H_2 = A_2 A_3 H_3 = \dots = A_2 \cdots A_k H_k,$$

every element $x \in H$ can be written as $a_2 \dots a_k h_k$ for $a_i \in A_i, h_k \in H_k, i = 2, \dots, k$. Then ψ sends an element $x\Phi(H) = a_2 \dots a_k h\Phi(H)$ to

$$\psi(x\Phi(H)) = (a_2 A_2^p[A_2, H_2], \dots, a_k A_k^p[A_k, H_k], h\Phi(H_k))$$

for any $a_i \in A_i, h \in H_k, i = 2, \dots, k$.

Since $\bar{a} \in \pi_H(H_{k-1}) \setminus \pi_H(H_k)$, the element $\psi(\bar{a})$ is in

$$\psi(\pi_H(H_{k-1})) = A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k] \times H_k/H_k^p[H_k, H_k]$$

but not in $\psi(\pi_H(H_k)) = H_k/H_k^p[H_k, H_k]$. Let p be the projection:

$$p : A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k] \times H_k/H_k^p[H_k, H_k] \rightarrow A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k]$$

and π_k the projection $\pi_k : A_k \rightarrow A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k]$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_k H_k \Phi(H) / \Phi(H) & \xleftarrow{\pi_H} & A_k \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_k \\ A_k / A_k^p[A_k, H_k] \times H_k / H_k^p[H_k, H_k] & \xrightarrow{p} & A_k / A_k^p[A_k, H_k]. \end{array}$$

Let $p(\psi(\bar{a})), x_1, \dots, x_r$ be a basis of $A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k]$ and let $A'_k = \pi_k^{-1}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle)$. Then A'_k is a proper subgroup of A_k which is normal in H_{k-1} .

Write $a = a_2 a_3 \dots a_k h$ for $a_i \in A_i$ and $h \in H_k, i = 2, \dots, k$.

The explicit description for ψ (given in Equation 2.8), gives:

$$\psi(a_k \Phi(H)) = a_k A_k^p[A_k, H_k] = \pi_k(a_k) = p(\psi(\bar{a})).$$

Now, since:

$$\pi_k(\langle a_k \rangle) + \pi_k(A'_k) = p(\psi(\langle \bar{a} \rangle)) + \pi_k(A'_k) = A_k/A_k^p[A_k, H_k],$$

and as $A'_k \supseteq A_k^p[A_k, H_k]$, we have $\langle a_k \rangle A'_k = A_k$.

Remark 2.8. Note that: $a_k = a_{k-1}^{-1} \dots a_2^{-1} a h^{-1} \in A_{k-1} \dots A_2 A H_k = A A_2 \dots A_{k-1} H_k$.

Let $U_{k-1} = A'_k H_k$, it is a semiabelian subgroup of H_{k-1} . As A'_k is a proper subgroup of A_k and A_k was assumed to be minimal we deduce U_{k-1} is a proper subgroup of H_{k-1} . Iteratively, define a semiabelian subgroup $U_i = A_{i+1} U_{i+1}$ of H_i for $i = 1, \dots, k-2$. The decompositions $H_k = A_{i+1} H_{i+1}$ are minimal and hence each U_i is a proper subgroup of H_i for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

We now claim that $AU_1 = G$. Indeed,

$$AU_1 = AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} A'_k H_k = AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} H_k A'_k H_k =$$

which by Remark 2.8 contains:

$$\supseteq AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} \langle a_k \rangle A'_k H_k = A_1 \dots A_k H_k = G.$$

This is a contradiction to the minimality of $H_1 = H$. $\square$

Combining Remark 2.6 and Proposition 2.7 we have:

Theorem 2.9. *Let $G = AH$ be a minimal decomposition of a non-trivial semiabelian p -group G . Then $d(G) = d(\overline{A}) + d(H)$. In particular, $d(H) < d(G)$.*

Corollary 2.10. *Let G be a semiabelian group with a minimal decomposition $G = AH$. Then the epimorphism $\psi : A \rtimes H \rightarrow G$ that sends (a, h) to $\psi(a, h) = ah$ is rank preserving.*

Proof. Let $E = A \rtimes H$ and $A' = A \rtimes 1, H' = 1 \rtimes H \leq E$. Then

$$A' \cap H' = \{e\} \subseteq A'^p[A', H'] \cap \Phi(H')$$

and hence by Remark 2.6 and Theorem 2.9:

$$d(E) = d(\overline{A'}) + d(H') = d(\overline{A}) + d(H) = d(G).$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.11. $\mathcal{G}_p = \mathcal{S}_p$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{G}_p \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p$; let us prove that equality holds. Assume on the contrary $\mathcal{S}_p \setminus \mathcal{G}_p \neq \emptyset$. Let r be the minimal rank of a group that is in $\mathcal{S}_p \setminus \mathcal{G}_p$ and $G \in \mathcal{S}_p \setminus \mathcal{G}_p$ be of rank r . Let $G = AH$ be a minimal decomposition of G . Since r is minimal, $H \in \mathcal{G}_p$. Consider the wreath product $W = A_m \wr H \in \mathcal{G}_p$ (the notation A_m is as in Remark 2.2). First, note that $d(W) = d(A_m) + d(H) = d(\overline{A}) + d(H) = d(G)$. Therefore it suffices to construct an epimorphism $\phi : W \rightarrow G$ (the epimorphism will necessarily be rank preserving) as in such case we deduce $G \in \mathcal{G}_p$, contradiction.

For every $f : H \rightarrow A_m$ and $h \in H$ define:

$$\phi(f, h) = \left(\prod_{t \in H} f(t)^t \right) h.$$

It is indeed a homomorphism since for every $f_1, f_2 : H \rightarrow A_m$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$:

$$\phi((f_1 h_1)(f_2 h_2)) = \phi((f_1 f_2^{h_1^{-1}}, h_1 h_2)) = \left(\prod_{t \in H} f_1(t)^t f_2^{h_1^{-1}}(t)^t \right) h_1 h_2 =$$

$$\left(\prod_{t \in H} f_1(t)^t f_2(th_1)^t \right) h_1 h_2 = \left(\prod_{t \in H} f_1(t)^t \right) \left(\prod_{t \in H} f_2(th_1)^t \right) h_1 h_2 =$$

setting $s = th_1$ in the second product we have:

$$= \left(\prod_{t \in H} f_1(t)^t \right) \left(\prod_{s \in H} f_2(s)^{s h_1^{-1}} \right) h_1 h_2 = \left(\prod_{t \in H} f_1(t)^t \right) h_1 \left(\prod_{s \in H} f_2(s)^s \right) h_2 = \phi(f_1, h_1) \phi(f_2, h_2).$$

It is left to notice that ϕ is an epimorphism since both A_m and H are in its image and A_m, H generate G . $\square$

Theorem 2.9 implies several corollaries on the structure of semiabelian groups.

Corollary 2.12. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group. Then there are abelian subgroups $A_1, \dots, A_r$, a group of the form $G' = A_1 \rtimes (A_2 \rtimes (\dots A_r))$ and a rank preserving epimorphism $\pi : G' \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. By induction on $d(G)$. The statement is trivial for $d(G) = 1$. Let $G = AH$ be a minimal decomposition. By Corollary 2.10, the map $\pi_1 : A \rtimes H \rightarrow AH = G$ is rank preserving. Let $A_2, \dots, A_r$ be abelian groups for which there is a rank preserving epimorphism $\pi_2 : H_1 = A_2 \rtimes (A_3 \rtimes \dots) \rightarrow H$. Thus, H_1 acts on A via π_2 and we can form $G_1 = A \rtimes H_1$ with respect to this action. Then by Remark 2.6,

$$d(G_1) = d(G_1/\Phi(G_1)) = d(A/A^p[A, H_1]) + d(H_1/\Phi(H_1)).$$

But H_1 acts on A just as H does and hence $[A, H_1] = [A, H]$. Using $d(H_1) = d(H)$ we deduce:

$$d(G_1) = d(A/A^p[A, H_1]) + d(H_1) = d(A/A^p[A, H]) + d(H) = d(AH) = d(G).$$

Therefore the map $\pi_1 \circ (id, \pi_2) : A \rtimes H_1 \rightarrow G$ is the required rank preserving epimorphism. $\square$

Corollary 2.13. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group. Then there is a sequence of semiabelian subgroups $\{e\} \leq H_1 \leq H_2 \leq \dots \leq H_r = G$ for which $d(H_i) = i$.*

Proof. Let $G = AH$ be a minimal decomposition. Then $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$. If $a = d(\bar{A}) = 1$ we are done. Let $\langle x_1, \dots, x_a \rangle$ be a basis for $A/A^p[A, H]$ and $\pi : A \rightarrow A/A^p[A, H]$ the projection. The groups $A_1 = \pi^{-1}(x_1), A_2 = \pi^{-1}(x_2, \dots, x_a)$ are normal subgroups of G . One has $A_1 A_2 H = G$. But as H is semiabelian, $H' = A_2 H$ is also semiabelian. We claim H' has rank $r - 1$. Indeed, it can be generated by $r - 1$ elements (the generators of H and lifts of $x_2, \dots, x_a$). Assume on the contrary $d(H') < r - 1$. Then

$$r = d(G) \leq d(H') + d(A_1/A_1^p[A_1, H']) = d(H') + d(A_1/A_1^p[A_1, H]) < r - 1 + 1 = r,$$

contradiction. Thus, $G = A_1 H'$ for some semiabelian subgroup $H' \leq G$ with $d(H') = d(G) - 1$. Applying this reduction repeatedly we get a sequence $\{e\} \leq H_1 \leq H_2 \leq \dots \leq H_{r-1} = H' \leq H_r = G$ for which $d(H_i) = i$. $\square$

Corollary 2.14. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group. Then there is a collection of abelian groups $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k d(A_i) = d(G)$, for which G is an epimorphic image of $G' = A_1 \wr (A_2 \wr \dots (A_{r-1} \wr A_k) \dots)$.*

As $d(G') = \sum_{i=1}^k d(A_i)$ we deduce that the epimorphism $G' \rightarrow G$ is rank preserving. To prove this, we shall make use of the following Lemma which is due to Sonn and the author:

Lemma 2.15. *Let A be an abelian group and let $\psi : G \rightarrow H$ be an epimorphism of finite groups. Then there is an epimorphism $\tilde{\psi} : A \wr G \rightarrow A \wr H$ that is defined by: $\tilde{\psi}(f, g) = (\hat{\psi}(f), \psi(g))$ with $\hat{\psi}(f)(h) = \prod_{k \in \psi^{-1}(h)} f(k)$ for every $h \in H$.*

Proof. Let us check that

$$\tilde{\psi}((f_1, g_1)(f_2, g_2)) = \tilde{\psi}(f_1, g_1)\tilde{\psi}(f_2, g_2) = (\hat{\psi}(f_1), \psi(g_1))(\hat{\psi}(f_2), \psi(g_2))$$

for every $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $f_1, f_2 : G \rightarrow A$. One has:

$$\tilde{\psi}((f_1, g_1)(f_2, g_2)) = \tilde{\psi}(f_1 f_2^{g_1^{-1}}, g_1 g_2) = (\hat{\psi}(f_1 f_2^{g_1^{-1}}), \psi(g_1) \psi(g_2)).$$

Note that for two maps $u, v : G \rightarrow A$ we have $\hat{\psi}(uv)(y) = \prod_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)} uv(x) = \prod_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)} u(x) \prod_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)} v(x) = \hat{\psi}(u)(y) \hat{\psi}(v)(y)$. Thus,

$$\tilde{\psi}((f_1, g_1)(f_2, g_2)) = (\hat{\psi}(f_1), \psi(g_1))(\hat{\psi}(f_2^{g_1^{-1}})^{\psi(g_1)}, \psi(g_2))$$

and it is left to show $\hat{\psi}(f_2^{g_1^{-1}})^{\psi(g_1)} = \hat{\psi}(f_2)$. By definition:

$$\hat{\psi}(f_2^{g_1^{-1}})^{\psi(g_1)}(y) = \hat{\psi}(f_2^{g_1^{-1}})(y\psi(g_1^{-1})) = \prod_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y\psi(g_1^{-1}))} f_2^{g_1^{-1}}(x).$$

But as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{-1}(y\psi(g_1^{-1})) &= \{x | \psi(x) = y\psi(g_1^{-1})\} = \{x | \psi(xg_1) = y\} = \\ &= \{x'g_1^{-1} | \psi(x') = y\} = \psi^{-1}(y)g_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have:

$$\prod_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y\psi(g_1^{-1}))} f_2^{g_1^{-1}}(x) = \prod_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)g_1^{-1}} f_2(xg_1) = \prod_{xg_1 \in \psi^{-1}(y)} f_2(xg_1) = \hat{\psi}(f_2)(y).$$

□

Proof. (Corollary 2.14) Let us prove the assertion by induction on $r = d(G)$. The case $r = 1$ is trivial. Let $G = AH$ be a minimal decomposition. As in Corollary 2.11, there is an epimorphism $\phi_1 : A_m \wr H \rightarrow G$. Since $d(H) < d(G)$, there are abelian groups $A_2, \dots, A_k$ with $\sum_{i=2}^k d(A_i) = d(H) = r - d(A_m)$ and an epimorphism $\psi : H' = A_2 \wr (A_3 \wr \dots (A_{k-1} \wr A_k) \dots) \rightarrow H$. By Lemma 2.15, ψ induces an epimorphism $\phi_2 : A_m \wr H' \rightarrow A_m \wr H$. The composition $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 : A_m \wr H' \rightarrow G$ gives the desired epimorphism as $r = d(A_m) + d(H) = d(\bar{A}) + \sum_{i=2}^k d(A_i)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] DENTZER, R., *On geometric embedding problems and semiabelian groups*. Manuscripta Math. **86** (1995), no. 2, 199-216.
- [2] KISILEVSKY, H., SONN, J. *On the minimal ramification problem for l -groups*. Comp. Math. (to appear).
- [3] MATZAT, B.H. *Konstruktive Galoistheorie*. Springer Verlag, (1987).
- [4] PLANS, B. *On the minimal number of ramified primes in some l -extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$* , Pacific J. Math. **215** (2004), 381-391.
- [5] SALTMAN, D. *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*. Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), no. 3, 250-283.

TECHNION

E-mail address: neftind@tx.technion.ac.il